The question that the consideration of the Bill may be postponed to the next session was put and carried.

AMENDMENTS TO THE FIRST SCHEDULE OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE.

Mr. N. Ramakrishna Pillai: Sir, I beg to move that amendments to the first Schedule of the Civil Procedure Code, 1100 as passed by the Assembly, be taken up for consideration.

Mr. C. P. Gopala Panicker: I second it.

Mr. V. N. Padmanabha Pillai: In place of the words now substituted in the Bill as passed by the Assembly, I move that the words "by a pleader appearing, applying or acting, as the case may be on his behalf" may be inserted.

President: What difference does it make?

Mr. V. N. Padmanabha Pillai: Rule 1 of Order III of the Civil Procedure Code reads:

> "Any appearance, application or act in or to any Court, required or authorised by law to be made or done by a party in such Court, may, except where otherwise expressly provided by any law for the time being in force, be made or done by the party in person, or by his recognized agent, or by a pleader duly appointed to act on his behalf"

Instead of the words "by a pleader duly appointed to appear, apply or act, as the case may be, on his behalf" as found in the Bill passed by the Assembly, the words "by a pleader appearing, applying or acting, as the case may be on his behalf" may be inserted and the necessary changes consequent upon that in Order III Rule 4 be made.

Mr. G. Narayana Aiyar: Sir, I rise to a point of order with regard to this amendment. I take it that we have no authority to amend the Rule other than those proposed by the High Court. By the present amendment the mover wants to amend Rule 4, paras 1 and 2 of Order III. I think it is not contemplated.

President: So far as the amendments to the Civil Procedure Code are concerned, the High Court has the autho ity to pass certain Rules and those Rules have to be placed before both Houses of the Legislature. But in this case, we have no authority to amend the Civil Procedure Code apart from those directed by the High Court. So, I must rule this amendment out of order.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[President.]

The question that the amendments to the First Schedule of the Civil Procedure Code, 1100 (as passed by the Assembly) be passed was put and carried.

Mr. N. Ramakrishna Pillai: Sir, I move that the amendments to the First Schedule of the Civil Procedure Code be passed.

The motion was put and carried.

THE TRAVANCORE REGISTRATION ACT (Amendment) BILL.

Mr. N. Ramakrishna Pillai: Sir, I move that the Travancore Registration Act (Amendment) Bill as passed by the Assembly be taken into consideration.

Mr. C. P. Gopala Panicker: I second it.

The motion was put and carried.

Clauses 1 & 2.

The question that Clauses 1 and 2 form part of the Bill was put and carried.

The Clauses were added to the Bill.

Mr. G. Ravi Varma: Sir, I want a clarification with regard to "the document writers." There is nothing in the Registration Act to define who are document writers.

President: Those who write documents are document writers. The trouble is that there is no profession of document writers as such. The object of this Bill is for the purpose of bringing into existence a kind of profession. At present any body who writes a document is a document writer.

The question that the Preamble and Title form part of the Bill was put and carried.

The Preamble and Title were added to the Bill.

Mr. N. Ramakrishna Pillai: Sir, I move that the Travancore Registration Act (Amendment) Bill be passed.

Mr. C. P. Gopala Panicker: I second it.

The motion was put and carried and the bill was passed.

THE TRAVANCORE BAR COUNCIL ACT (Amendment) BILL.

Mr. N. Ramakrishna Pillai: Sir, I move that the Travancore Bar Council Act (Amendment) Bill as passed by the Assembly be taken into consideration.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly